

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLIC	CATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/	486,865	03/02/2000	YUJI FUKUZAWA	09792909-6482	6062
263	26263 7590 10/03/2006			EXAMINER	
	ONNENSCH O. BOX 0610	HEIN NATH & ROSEN	KHATRI, ANIL		
	WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
C	HICAGO, IL	60606-1080	2191		

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/486,865	FUKUZAWA ET	FUKUZAWA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Anil Khatri	2191				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ansions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Openiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply will, by state of the provided by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS CON R 1.136(a). In no event, however riod will apply and will expire SI atute, cause the application to b	MUNICATION. If, may a reply be timely filed ((6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of the come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	·						
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	5 August 2006.					
	· · ·	This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for form	al matters, prosecution as to th	e merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirem	ent.				
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) = a		cted to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the	drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the a	ttached Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 L	I.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		3	•			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been receiv	ed.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been receiv	ed in Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents hav	e been received in this National	l Stage			
	application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified cop	ies not received.				
		•					
Attachmen	t(s)		•				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 In	terview Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		aper No(s)/Mail Date Diction of Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152\			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. r No(s)/Mail Date		her:	O-192)			

Art Unit: 2191

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This action is in response to the request for reconsideration filed on 8/15/06.
 - 2. As per applicant's request claims 1-21 and specification have been are amended.
 - 3. As per applicant request claims 1-21 has been considered but they are not persuasive.
 - 4. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by *Bennett et al* USPN 6,126,329.

In remarks applicant argues,

- I. Cited reference does not disclose both an analysis data generating section for generating from a source program, analysis data of the source program and a profile data generating section for generating profile data on the boss of the first executable program.
- II. Cited reference does not disclose both a first executable program generating section for generating a first executable program on the basis of the analysis data and a second executable program generating section for generating a second executable program on the basis of the analysis data and the profile data.

In response to applicant's arguments,

I. It was noted that cited reference fairly suggest both an analysis data generating section for generating from a source program, analysis data of the source program and a profile data generating section for generating profile data on the boss of the first executable program (figures

Art Unit: 2191

4-5, column 7, lines 48-58, "after parsing the function code... can be intermixed"). Therefore, examiner interprets that analysis has been generated on a basic block and then data as been profiled and added to the function as determined according to the above analysis. Thus, limitations are met by the reference.

II. It was also noted that reference suggest first executable program generating section for generating a first executable program on the basis of the analysis data and a second executable program generating section for generating a second executable program on the basis of the analysis data and the profile data (figures 3-5, column 6, lines 63-67, "these profiling routines...other timing, column 8, lines 25-61, "each time the basic block is executed... additional profiling code"). Thus, examiner interprets that executable program works in conjunction with profiling instruction for analysis data and profiling data. Therefore, limitations are met by the reference.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2191

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anil Khatri whose telephone number is 571-272-3725. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wei Zhen can be reached on 571-272-3708. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER